

VC(Vapnik-Chervonenkis) Theory


## Kernel Trick

- Most classification method can be represented as inner product, such as PCA, SVM.
- Kernel makes inner product in high-dimension done in low dimension
$k(x, y)=<\Phi(x), \Phi(y)>$

| Kernel |
| :---: |
| Polynomial Kernel |
| $k(x, y)=[<x, y>+1]^{d}$ |
| Radial basis function Kernel |
| $k(x, y)=\exp \{-r\\|x-y\\|\}$ |
|  |

## Linear PCA

- Find orthonormal axes to maximally decorrelate the data
- Assumption:
- Sources are Gaussian

USources are independent and stationary.


## Using PCA

- Find eigenvectors, and arrange in order of decreasing eigenvalue.
- Project test points onto eigenvectors
- use those coefficients to do something useful (classification,image reconstruction, etc).


## Kernel PCA

Calculate Coefficient $\alpha_{\mathrm{j}}$ :

$$
\lambda a_{j}=K a_{j}
$$

Where: $k_{i j}=\left\langle\Phi\left(x_{i}\right), \Phi\left(x_{j}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{i}, x_{j}\right\rangle^{d}$
Represent the principal vector:

$$
e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{j i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Projection to the principal vector:
$\left\langle e_{j}, \Phi(x)\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{j i}\left\langle\Phi\left(x_{i}\right), \Phi(x)\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{j i}\left\langle x, x_{i}\right\rangle^{d}$

## Standard PCA Algorithm

- Centered Observations: column vectors, $x_{i} \in R^{I}$ $i=1, \ldots, n$
- PCA finds the principal axes by diagonalizing the Scatter matrix

$$
S=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{i}^{T}
$$

- Note that S is positive definite, and thus can be diagonalized with nonnegative eigenvalues.

$$
\lambda v=C v
$$

PCA in Mapped Feature Space
$S=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right) \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)^{T}$
$\lambda e_{j}=S e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right) \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)^{T} e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left\langle e_{j}, \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)>\Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right.$
$e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{j i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)$

## Comment on Kernel PCA

- Calculate the dot product at feature space without calculating the mapping $\Phi(\mathrm{x})$.
- Need to solve $\mathrm{n}^{\star}$ n eigenvalue problem, which is time-consuming.
- Each eigenvector is the combination of all training data, which makes it unacceptable for on-line learning


## Improve Kernel PCA

- Select a subset of input data, then apply kernel PCA.
- Selected subset of data are important in feature space according to the Kernel we chosen, which is similar as clustering.
- Random sampling is must faster, and have equal performance in some cases. (It's very practical, but too simple to write paper!)


## KFA Algorithm

1. Calculate $n * n$ Gram matrix $K$
2. Orthogonalize the possible directions to any previous principal vector
3. Compute the dot products between the possible direction and the training data
4. Compute the variance of each possible directions
5. Choose the direction with the maximum variance as the principal vector
6. Normalize this principal vector

## Kernel Feature Analysis

Extracts the eigenvectors one by one according to the decreasing order of eigenvalues.

$$
V_{L P}=\left\{w \mid w=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \Phi_{j} \text { with } \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right| \leq 1\right\} \text { has a vertex solution. }
$$

## Commend On KFA

- KFA extracted $P$ eigenvectors, where in most cases $P$ much less than $n$.
- Computational complexity of computing $P$ features is $O\left(P \times n^{2}\right)$, while the standard kernel PCA costs $O\left(n^{3}\right)$.
- Orthogonalize, normalize, calculate the projection variance, sort, then unitize the eigenvectors, it didn't save much time as expected.
- The eigenvectors of KFA are approximate solutions. Its performance is not comparable with Kernel PCA.


## Improved KFA

- After select one principle vector, discard amount of data that are not potential new principle vectors.
- Increase the efficiency, but not helpful to improve its performance.


## Experiment Results

400 points, 2 principle vectors, RBF Kernel



800 points, 10 Eigenvectors, RBF Kernel


R: KPCA
G: KFA


Each 400 points, 10 Eigenvectors, RBF Kernel


| 2000 points (within one rectangle), 10 Eigenvectors, RBF Kernel |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recons. Error In High Dim. | Cpu-time(s) | Data <br> Related |
| KPCA | $1.2572 \mathrm{e}-004$ | 375.2496 | All |
| KFA | 5.5607e-004 | 331.7971 | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{llll} 1582 & 1146 & 331 \\ 1051 & 1176 & 101 \\ 325 & 1144 & 152 \\ 1684] \end{array}\right.} \end{aligned}$ |
| Improved KFA | $6.2320 \mathrm{e}-004$ | 480.5810 | $\left.\begin{array}{lll} \hline 1582 & 1146 & 331 \\ 1051 & 1176 & 101 \\ 325 & 1144 & 152 \\ 1470 \end{array}\right]$ |

## Simple Classification

1. To each digit, use 300 samples to train, store 10 eigenvectors. Then project the test data to each eigenspace, choose the eigenspace with minimum reconstruction error as the class it belongs to.

- Use 100 data to test, Accuracy of KPCA is $91 \%$, accuracy of KFA is 84 \%

300 training data for each digit, 100 test data
Training data + random noise

| Accuracy <br> (\%) | Number of Principle Vectors Extracted in Feature Space |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 5 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 |  |
| Kfa | 40 | 44 | 46 | 45 | 50 | 51 | 50 |  |
| kpca | 54 | 53 | 58 | 62 | 65 | 57 | 63 |  |

No noise both to training data and test data

| Accuracy <br> $(\%)$ | Number of Principle Vectors Extracted in Feature Space |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 |  |  |
| Kfa | 82 | 84 | 86 | 91 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |  |
| kpca | 90 | 91 | 91 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 |  |  |

USPS Zip Code. 1000 training data. 10 test data. 10 Eigenvectors, RBF kernel

Original Image Pre-image of KPCA Pre-image of KFA

| 41 | [1] | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 블 | 2 |
| k] | k | $k$ |
| z | 튼 | S |
| 16 | 0 | 14 |
| (0) | [] | [0] |
| $0]$ | $0]$ | [0] |
| $0]$ | $0]$ | [] |
| [ | [1] | \% |
| 4 | [1 | ll |

300 training data for each digit, 100 test data
Test data+ random noise

| Accuracy <br> $(\%)$ | Number of Principle Vectors Extracted in Feature Space |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 150 |
| Kfa | 68 | 71 | 75 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| kpca | 81 | 88 | 87 | 88 | 91 | 91 |

Training data+ random noise, Test data+ random noise

| Accuracy <br> (\%) | Number of Principle Vectors Extracted in Feature Space |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 150 |
| Kfa | 81 | 81 | 80 | 79 | 82 | 85 |
| kpca | 88 | 89 | 91 | 91 | 87 | 90 |

## Next Step

- Give up the instance selection for kernel PCA
- Try to improve the performance of KFA, such as making each principle vectors contain more data information.
- From the theoretical view, analyze the difference of the eigenvectors by Kernel PCA and by Kernel Feature Analysis.
- From the theoretical view, understand the property of kernel, the property of the high dimension.
- From the theoretical view, how to choose kernel whether we can analyze data can be linearly separated in the high dimension without experiment?
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